

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
Harry Buhay	1637A1	7176	
	EXAM	INER	
	ROSSI, JI	ESSICA	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2	1733	1733	
	Harry Buhay	ROSSI, JI ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
.3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1, 1
Office Antique Commence	10/007,382	BUHAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal mat		is (
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, .	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1733

į,

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method of making a coated substrate, classified in class
 427, subclass 402.
 - II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a method of making a laminated article, classified in class156, subclass 99.
 - III. Claims 16, drawn to a method of making a coated article, classified in class 427, subclass 331.
 - IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to a laminated article, classified in class 428, subclass 411.1.
 - V. Claims 21-37, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass 426.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not used together and have different functions; the invention of Group I is directed to making a coated substrate while the invention of Group II is directed to making a laminated article.
- 3. Inventions I and III are distinct method combinations. Each group relies on different elements for patentability not required by the other. Group I requires providing a substrate having a functional coating thereon and depositing a protective coating over at least a portion of the functional coating to provide a coating stack having an emissivity value greater than that of

Art Unit: 1733

the functional coating whereas Group III does not. Group III requires providing a coating having an infrared reflectance and altering the coating such that the emissivity increases but the infrared reflectance stays the same whereas Group I does not.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product could be made by a process wherein the protective coating is deposited on the interlayer followed by bonding the interlayer to the first ply such that the protective coating is deposited over at least a portion of the functional coating. Alternatively, the process can be used to make a coated substrate that is not bonded to another substrate.
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product could be made by a process wherein the protective and functional coatings are deposited in that order on a transfer film and then simultaneously transferred to the substrate via the film.
- 6. Inventions II and III are distinct method combinations. Each group relies on different elements for patentability not required by the other. Group II requires providing a first substrate, applying a functional coating to at least a portion of the first substrate, applying a protective coating over at least a portion of the functional coating to form a coating stack having an emissivity value greater than that of the functional coating, providing a second substrate, heating

Art Unit: 1733

ą

the first and second substrates to desired shapes, and laminating the first and second substrates via an interlayer with the protective coating facing the interlayer whereas Group III does not.

Group III requires providing a coating having an infrared reflectance and altering the coating such that the emissivity increases but the infrared reflectance stays the same whereas Group II does not.

- 7. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product could be made by a process having no heating step.
- 8. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product could be made by a process wherein the first substrate is not bonded to another substrate.
- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process can be used to coat a substrate that is not bonded to another substrate.
- 10. Inventions III and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1733

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by a process wherein the functional coating does not have an infrared reflectance. Alternatively, the process can be used to make a product wherein the emissivity of the coating is increased by treating the coating in ways other than by applying a coating thereto.

- 11. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not used together and have different functions; the invention of Group IV is directed to making a laminated article while the invention of Group V is directed to making a coated article.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. A telephone call was made to Mr. Meola on 3/2/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1733

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jessica L. Rossi** whose telephone number is **571-272-1223**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard D. Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica L. Rossi Patent Examiner Art Unit 1733

Jessia L. Ress!